

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF ARKANSAS

JASON MATTHEW LYNCH, APPELLANT

v.

WHITE COUNTY, ARKANSAS, ET AL., APPELLEES

NO. CV-26-301

SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE TO THE COURT REGARDING DEVELOPMENTS BELOW AND REQUEST FOR IMMEDIATE REVIEW

Re: Jason M. Lynch v. White County Jail; Sheriff White County; John Does 1-25; Jane Does; 1-10; Private Medical Contractors , White County Jail; Arkansas Department of Health; and Unknown insurers, CY-26-301, appeal From transport order entered April 7, 2026 [pro se petition for declaratory judgment and injunctive Relief filed April 1, 2026,

White County Circuit Court, 73CV-26-287

SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE TO THE COURT REGARDING DEVELOPMENTS BELOW AND REQUEST FOR IMMEDIATE REVIEW

COMES NOW, Jason M. Lynch, Appellant, and respectfully submits this Supplemental Notice to advise the Court of significant developments occurring in the proceedings below which directly impact matters currently before this Court.

Since the filing of the pending appeal and requests for extraordinary relief, the circuit court has dismissed the underlying action based upon an alleged failure to appeal. Appellant respectfully submits that this action is deeply troubling given that related issues were already pending before this Court and actively under review.

Appellant believes any reasonable jurist would recognize that dismissal of a matter while appellate issues concerning that same proceeding remain pending risks frustrating the jurisdiction and

review authority of the higher court. The practical effect of the dismissal is to further complicate review, potentially render portions of the controversy moot, and deprive Appellant of a meaningful opportunity to obtain a decision on the merits.

This development further reinforces Appellant's longstanding concern that he cannot receive a fair and impartial review of matters arising from White County. Throughout these proceedings, Appellant has encountered repeated procedural obstacles, unanswered motions, delayed rulings, denial of meaningful participation, and actions which have consistently operated to his detriment.

Appellant is particularly troubled by information provided by the Federal Bureau of Prisons regarding attempts to obtain custody of him during the pendency of these matters. Appellant was informed that White County officials, including the Sheriff, sought approval concerning his transfer and custody. Appellant was further informed that federal authorities declined such requests because of security concerns and other issues surrounding federal custody.

Appellant notes that the United States Marshals Service previously removed him from White County custody for his safety. Against that backdrop, Appellant believes the efforts to regain custody of him raise legitimate concerns that warrant careful judicial scrutiny.

Appellant further believes the dismissal below raises serious questions regarding whether the proceedings were being managed in a manner designed to prevent a full review of the underlying issues. While Appellant cannot know the intentions of the court or any party, the timing and effect of the dismissal create the appearance that significant issues may be avoided without ever reaching the merits.

The prejudice suffered by Appellant is substantial.

Among other harms:

1. Appellant has been denied a full hearing on the merits of his claims.

2. Appellant has been denied the opportunity to complete discovery and obtain evidence.

3. Appellant has been denied the opportunity to present witnesses and testimony.

4. Appellant has been denied meaningful participation in proceedings affecting substantial rights.

5. The dismissal delays resolution of serious allegations involving governmental misconduct.

6. Evidence continues to become more difficult to obtain as time passes.

7. Witness memories continue to fade.

8. Appellant's ability to pursue related claims is adversely impacted.

9. Public confidence in the fairness and impartiality of the proceedings is undermined.

10. Appellant has suffered substantial emotional distress and frustration from repeated procedural barriers that have prevented review of his claims on the merits.

The underlying allegations are not minor disputes. They involve serious claims concerning constitutional violations, conditions of confinement, medical treatment, denial of rights, and conduct by government officials. Rather than receiving a complete and transparent review, Appellant believes he has repeatedly encountered actions that prevent those issues from ever being fully heard.

The cumulative effect of these events creates at least the appearance that something more than ordinary procedural error may be occurring. Whether viewed individually or collectively, these

actions have resulted in significant prejudice and have further eroded Appellant's confidence in his ability to receive fair treatment within White County.

For these reasons, Appellant respectfully requests that this Court take notice of these developments, consider their impact upon the matters presently pending before it, exercise its supervisory authority as necessary to protect the integrity of the judicial process, and grant such further relief as justice may require.

Respectfully submitted,

Jason M. Lynch

Appellant, Pro Se

/s/ Jason M. Lynch

Appellant, Pro Se