

73CV-26-287

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF ARKANSAS

JASON MATTHEW LYNCH, APPELLANT

v.

WHITE COUNTY, ARKANSAS, ET AL., APPELLEES

NO. CV-26-301

NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY AND CONCERN REGARDING SUBSEQUENT PROCEEDINGS BELOW

Re: Jason M. Lynch v. White County Jail; Sheriff White County; John Does 1-25; Jane Does; 1-10; Private Medical Contractors , White County Jail; Arkansas Department of Health; and Unknown insurers, CY-26-301, appeal From transport order entered April 7, 2026 [pro se petition for declaratory judgment and injunctive Relief filed April 1, 2026,

White County Circuit Court, 73CY-26-287

NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY AND CONCERN REGARDING SUBSEQUENT PROCEEDINGS BELOW

COMES NOW, Jason M. Lynch, Appellant, and respectfully submits this Notice to inform the Court of significant developments occurring in the proceedings below that directly impact matters presently before this Court.

Since the filing of the pending appeal, the circuit court has entered an order dismissing the underlying action based upon an alleged failure to appeal. Appellant respectfully submits that such action raises serious concerns because the very issues surrounding jurisdiction, appellate review, and the propriety of the proceedings were already being presented to this Court for review.

At a minimum, the dismissal creates the appearance that the issues currently before this Court have been frustrated, altered, or rendered more difficult to review through actions taken after appellate proceedings were initiated. Appellant respectfully submits that once issues are actively pending before a higher court, the lower court should exercise caution to avoid actions that could interfere with meaningful appellate review.

This development further strengthens Appellant's longstanding concern that he cannot obtain a fair and impartial review of matters arising from White County. Throughout these proceedings, Appellant has repeatedly raised concerns regarding procedural irregularities,

unanswered motions, lack of timely rulings, denial of meaningful participation, and actions that have consistently prejudiced his ability to present his claims on the merits.

Appellant further notes that the Federal Bureau of Prisons previously declined requests related to his transfer from federal custody. Appellant was informed that White County officials, including the Sheriff, sought approval regarding his transportation and custody. According to information provided to Appellant, federal authorities declined such requests due to security and custody concerns. Appellant was further advised that alternative methods of participation existed and could have been utilized.

Despite these circumstances, the proceedings below continued in a manner that ultimately resulted in dismissal of Appellant's claims. The practical effect is that Appellant has now been denied an opportunity to fully present evidence, conduct discovery, examine witnesses, and obtain a decision on the merits of substantial constitutional and statutory claims.

The resulting prejudice to Appellant is significant. Among other things:

1. Appellant has lost valuable time pursuing relief.
2. Appellant has incurred substantial litigation expenses and burdens.
3. Important claims remain unresolved on their merits.
4. Witness memories continue to fade.
5. Evidence becomes increasingly difficult to obtain with the passage of time.
6. Statutes of limitation continue to create concerns regarding related claims.

7. Public confidence in the fairness and integrity of the proceedings is undermined.

Appellant respectfully submits that these developments further demonstrate why intervention by this Court is necessary. The cumulative record reflects repeated procedural obstacles that have prevented meaningful review of serious allegations involving governmental actors, jail officials, and constitutional violations.

Whether viewed individually or collectively, these actions create at least the appearance that Appellant's claims are being prevented from reaching a full and fair adjudication on their merits. The Arkansas Supreme Court is uniquely positioned to ensure that justice is administered fairly, that litigants receive meaningful access to the courts, and that public confidence in the judicial process is maintained.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Court take notice of these developments, consider their impact upon the pending proceedings, and grant such relief as justice may require.

Respectfully submitted,

Jason M. Lynch

Appellant, Pro Se

/s/ Jason M. Lynch

Appellant, Pro Se