

---

## Good-Faith Conference Regarding Deficient Doe-Identification Discovery; Request for Sworn Supplementation, Identification of Medical Decisionmakers, Authentication, and Preservation

---

**From** Jason Lynch <jlynch@abtechcs.com>

**Date** Mon 7/20/2026 7:36 AM

**To** Kaylen Lewis <lewis@jowenslawfirm.com>

**Cc** Alexandra G. Ah Loy <allieahloy@hallboothsmith.com>

 1 attachment (286 KB)

Good-Faith Conference Regarding Deficient Doe-Identification Discovery; Request for Sworn Supplementation, Identification of Medical Decisionmakers, Authentication, and Preservation.pdf;

This document is a detailed legal correspondence requesting specific, sworn discovery responses related to medical and personnel records in a civil rights and medical treatment case.

### Overview of Purpose and Scope

- The letter seeks clarification, identification, and authentication of personnel, medical records, and procedural details relevant to alleged misconduct and record disputes.

### Main Issues with Existing Discovery Responses

- Responses are insufficient to identify individuals responsible for specific acts, dates, shifts, and record entries.
- Defendants provided broad lists and vague references, failing to tie personnel to specific actions or records.
- Disputes include allegations of record forgery, alteration, and inconsistent documentation, especially regarding signatures and medication records.
- The plaintiff disputes the authenticity of certain signatures and records, claiming some signatures were obtained under duress or are forged.
- Records related to medication handling, especially crushing, dissolving, and administration, are central, with disputes over whether proper approval and documentation exist.
- The letter emphasizes the need for sworn, detailed supplemental responses, including full names, job titles, roles, dates, specific acts, supervisory approvals, and record identifiers.

### Key Discovery Topics and Requests

- Identification of personnel involved in medication administration, including nurses, pharmacists, and physicians, with detailed personal and professional information.
- Clarification of roles of unidentified or Doe defendants, including their shifts, actions, and involvement in medication handling and recordkeeping.
- Specific identification of individuals involved in medication decisions, especially regarding crushing Biktarvy, including pharmacists, doctors, and facility providers.
- Requests for documentation of communications, including calls, emails, pharmacy notes, and directives related to medication alteration and approval.
- Identification of jail administrators, medical staff, and command personnel involved in directives to crush or float medications.

- Preservation of original, native, and electronic records, including audit trails, metadata, and chain-of-custody information, especially for disputed documents.
- The letter highlights disputes over the authenticity of specific records, including consent forms and intake documentation, requesting production of original and source data.

### **Procedural and Preservation Concerns**

- The plaintiff requests confirmation that a litigation hold is in place and that relevant physical and electronic materials are preserved.
- Specific records, such as consent forms, medication records, and communication logs, are identified as disputed and require authentication.
- The letter stresses the importance of identifying record creators, modifiers, and witnesses, especially for records with conflicting or suspicious entries.
- It requests detailed information about personnel involved in handling, storing, and approving medication, including chain-of-custody and transfer records.

### **Additional Discovery and Identification Needs**

- The plaintiff seeks full identities and service information for all relevant personnel, including nurses, medical staff, command staff, and courthouse officials.
- Clarification is requested for unresolved names, such as “Spence,” and for personnel involved in medication receipt, storage, and administration.
- The letter emphasizes the importance of identifying individuals who participated in or directed the alleged medication policy, lockdown decisions, and legal mail handling.
- It also requests information about surveillance footage custodians, staffing rosters, and command structure roles.

### **Disputed Records and Authentication**

- The plaintiff disputes the authenticity of specific records, including consent forms and intake documents, claiming signatures are forged or obtained under duress.
- Requests include original documents, audit logs, metadata, and chain-of-custody data to verify record integrity.
- Disputes extend to medication records showing inconsistent entries, timing, and documentation of altered medication handling.
- The letter calls for production of native electronic records, including audit trails, revision histories, and communication logs related to medication decisions and record creation.

### **Conclusion and Next Steps**

- The plaintiff demands sworn, detailed supplemental responses within 14 days, ideally by August 3, 2026.
- A conference is requested to resolve disputes and clarify record identification.
- The letter warns that failure to address deficiencies may lead to further court motions, authentication requests, and preservation orders.



**Jason Lynch**  
Operations Mgr & Network Engineer,  
AbTechCs.com

501-605-4481

501-920-2107

[Jlynch@abtechcs.com](mailto:Jlynch@abtechcs.com)

[6834 Cantrell Road Suite #1183](#)  
[Little Rock, AR 72207](#)

[5015633500](#)



Add me on Facebook



Let's Connect!

"Better three hours too soon than a minute too late." - William Shakespeare



---

IMPORTANT: The contents of this email and any attachments are confidential. They are intended for the named recipient(s) only. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender immediately and do not disclose the contents to anyone or make copies thereof.